PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D U 7 NOV 2005

Applicant's or agent's file reference			IMPO	
FR920030012	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/003049	International filing date (da 27.02.2004	ay/month/year)	Priority date <i>(day/month/year)</i> 18.04.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G06F17/24 Applicant INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION 1. This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. 3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising: a. □ sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows: □ sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions). □ sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the International application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box. b. □ (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a				
b. (sent to the International Be sequence listing and/or tab Box Relating to Sequence	les related thereto, in co	mputer readable form o	only, as indicated in the Supplemental	
4. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
☐ Box No. i Basis of the opin	☐ Box No. i Basis of the opinion			
Box No. II Priority				
l	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention			
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited			
LI Box No. VIII Certain observa	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application			
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this	report	
04.08.2004		07.11.2005		
Name and mailing address of the International		Authorized Officer	Has Falents	
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840		Abram, R Telephone No. +49 30 25	SPO1-	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/003049

_	Box No. I	Basis of the report	
1.	With regard	d to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was otherwise indicated under this item.	
	wnich	eport is based on translations from the original language into the following language , is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	
	☐ pub	ernational search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) Dication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) ernational preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)	
2.	2. With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheet have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to it report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):		
	Description	ı, Pages	
	1-86	as originally filed	
	Claims, Nu	mbers	
	1-15	as originally filed	
	Drawings, S	Sheets	
	1/23-23/23	as originally filed	
	□ a sequ	ence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing	
3.		mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
	⊔ the □ the	description, pages claims, Nos.	
	☐ the	drawings, sheets/figs	
	☐ any	sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
4.	Supplemen	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below an made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the stall Box (Rule 70.2(c)).	
	☐ the☐ the	description, pages claims, Nos.	
	□ the	drawings, sheets/figs	
	☐ any	sequence listing (specify): table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):	
		em 4 applies, some or all of these shoots may be marked "	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/EP2004/003049

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Noveity (N)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 03/014987 A (INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES

CORPORATION) 20 February 2003 (2003-02-20)

D2: HENRION: "WHAT'S WRONG WITH SPREADSHEETS - AND HOW TO FIX

THEM WITH ANALYTICA"[Online] 14 July 2004 (2004-07-14),

XP002333472 Retrieved from the Internet:

URL:http://www.lumina.com/dlana/papers/Wha

ts%20wrong%20with%20spreadsheets.pdf> [retrieved on 2005-06-24]

- The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter 1 of claim 1, and shows (the references in parentheses applying to this document):
 - a method (page 210, line 11 page 226, line 4; figure 18) for managing deletion operations in a scalable template instance.
- The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known method in that the scalable 1.1 templates (and their instances) are recursive (each element of the top-level scalable recursive template can itself be a scalable template).
- 1.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).
- 1.3 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as enabling the user of a spreadsheet to delete elements within very complicated and adaptable hierarchical structures within a spreadsheet without an undue burden of effort.
- The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/003049

None of the available prior art even hints at the idea of instances of scalable hierarchical structures within a spreadsheet, much less deleting elements therein whilst preserving the overall structure, or even that this is possible or practicable. Conventional spreadsheets have difficulty coping with creating & amending even relatively simple table structures and normal practice is still to resort to numerical modelling software (see for example D2) rather than try to incorporate these capabilities into the spreadsheet concept.

- 1.5 Claims 2-13 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 1.6 Claims 14 and 15 refer to a computer system and a computer program for carrying out the steps of the method of claims 1-22, and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.